**PATENT** 

I hereby certify that the United States Postal Commissioner for Pa

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail even envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 21, 2005

By Elysbeth J Doland

Attorney Docket No. SIC-00-004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	) Examiner: Anne Marie M. Boehler
KAZUHIRO FUJII	) Art Unit: 3611
Application No.: 09/766,696	)
Filed: January 19, 2001	TRANSMITTAL LETTER
For: BRACKET ASSEMBLY FOR A MOTOR CONTROLLED BICYCLE TRANSMISSION	)

Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

Transmitted herewith are three copies of a reply brief for the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

game, Welle of

James A. Deland Reg. No. 31,242

CUSTOMER NO.: 29863
DELAND LAW OFFICE
P.O. Box 69
Klamath River, CA 96050-0069
(530) 465-2430

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents,
Washington, D.C. 20231, on October 21, 2005

Attorney Docket No. SIC-00-004



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of:

KAZUHIRO FUJII

Application No.: 09/766,696

Filed: January 19, 2001

For: BRACKET ASSEMBLY FOR A

MOTOR CONTROLLED BICYCLE

TRANSMISSION

Examiner: Anne Marie M. Boehler

Art Unit: 3611

**REPLY BRIEF** 

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

This is a reply to the Examiner's Answer dated September 13, 2005.

The Examiner's answer maintains that neither a significant amount of additional cable nor greater complexity or exposure of cable will be required if Ethington's automatic shift actuation unit is positioned on the chain stay. However, the proposed modification requires at least a doubling of total cable. Not only are there the existing cables (56) and (58) from the respective shift levers (46) and (52), but there will be the additional cables (66) and (68) connected to the tips of the shift levers (46) and (52). Cable (68), connected to the rear shift lever (52), will be approximately the same length as existing cable (58), thus approximately doubling the length of cable to service the rear derailleur. However, cable (66), connected to the front shift lever (46) will be *longer* than existing cable (56) because power unit (50) is now placed *behind* the front derailleur (36), thus more than doubling the length of cable to service the front derailleur. Also, cables (66) and (68) now run straight from levers (46) and (52) to the chain stay, thus being located exactly in the region of the pedal crank (22) where they can be repetitively struck by the rider's feet.

KAZUHIRO FUJI

Application No.: 09/766,696

Page 2

As for the ability of removing the control device as a unit, it is true that Ethington need not

**PATENT** 

teach a control unit of any kind. However, since Ethington does disclose a control unit, and since

Ethington's bracket base is not capable of supporting the disclosed control unit such that the control

unit can be removed as a unit, Ethington neither discloses nor suggests this claimed feature.

As for the comments related to claim 66, a support for a control device is part of the control

device. Indeed, when Ethington refers to his power unit (50), he includes the housing, even though

the housing itself powers nothing.

As for claims 3-5, no motivation was stated for modifying Ethington's bracket supports to

have the configuration of Chappel's frame connecting arms (42).

As for claim 8, there is no disclosure or suggestion of such a mounting hole on the upper

surface of the bracket base in the prior art, and the Examiner's Answer does not address it.

As for claim 14, conventional fasteners employ separate nuts and bolts. There is no

disclosure or suggestion to form a threaded opening in the bracket support.

Respectfully submitted,

fam et Welluf

James A. Deland

Reg. No. 31,242

**DELAND LAW OFFICE** 

P.O. Box 69

Klamath River, California 94583

530-465-2430